

## Advanced Calculus Notes

Dr. John Travis  
Mississippi College

Based upon "An Introduction to Analysis", Wade, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition

### CHAPTER ONE

**P1 - Field Axioms** - pg 2

**P2 - Order Axioms** - pg 4

**1.7 – Triangle Inequalities:**

- $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$
- $|a - b| \geq |a| - |b|$
- $||a - b| \leq |a - b|$

**1.9 – Results regarding closeness and smallness:**

- $x < y + \epsilon, \forall \epsilon > 0 \Leftrightarrow x \leq y$ . Pf: Suppose also  $x > y$  and let  $\epsilon = x - y$ .
- $x > y - \epsilon, \forall \epsilon > 0 \Leftrightarrow x \geq y$ . Pf: Rewrite as  $-x < -y + \epsilon$  and use first result.
- $|x| < \epsilon, \forall \epsilon > 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ . Pf:  $0 - \epsilon < x < 0 + \epsilon$ . Use both results above with  $y = 0$ .

**HOMEWORK** - pg 11 #1, 4, 6, 8.

Work in class:

- #3 - (b) consider cases  $c = 0$  and  $c > 0$
- #5 - (a) apply (6) to  $1 - a$ . (b) apply (6) to  $a - 1$ . (c) note  $(\downarrow a - \downarrow b)^2 \geq 0$
- #10 - (a) note  $|xy - ab| = |xy - xb + xb - ab|$  and  $|x| < |a| + \epsilon$

**P3 - Well-Ordering Principle (WOP):** Every nonempty subset of the natural numbers has a least element.

**Note:** The real numbers, integers and rational numbers have no least elements.

This basic axiom has no proof but accepting its validity allows us to prove many very important and reasonable results.

**The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic:** Every positive integer greater than one can be expressed uniquely as a product of primes, except for the arrangement of terms.

Pf: If  $n$  is prime,  $n = 1 * n$  and we are done.

If  $n$  is composite, then  $n$  has a smallest positive divisor  $p_1$  other than one and itself.

Since  $p_1$  is a smallest divisor, it must also be prime. Indeed, suppose there is an integer  $q$  such that  $1 < q < p_1$  and  $q | p_1$ . Then,  $q | n$ , which contradicts  $p_1$  being the smallest positive divisor.

Therefore,  $n = p_1 n_1$  where  $p_1$  is prime and  $n > n_1$ .

Repeat this argument starting now with  $n_1 \dots$

If  $n_1$  is prime, then  $n = p_1 n_1$  is a product of primes and we are done.

If  $n_1$  is composite, then  $n_1 = p_2 n_2$ , where  $p_2$  is a prime smallest nontrivial divisor of  $n_1$  and  $n_1 > n_2$ .

Continuing the argument with  $n_2, \dots$  yields a (decreasing) sequence  $n > n_1 > n_2 > n_3 \dots$  of natural numbers. Apply the Well-Ordering Principle to yield a least element, say  $p_m$ , which must then be prime.

Then  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$  and we are done.

**1.11 - Principle of Mathematical Induction:** For a conditional proposition  $P(n)$  defined on the natural

numbers, if  $P(1)$  is true and if  $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$ , for all  $k \geq 1$ , then  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Pf: By contradiction, suppose the theorem is false and let  $E = \{n : P(n) \text{ is false} \}$ .

Since we have supposed that the theorem is false, there must be some  $n_0$  where  $P(n_0)$  is false and so  $E$  is nonempty. By the WOP,  $E$  has a least element, say at  $x$ . Since  $P(1)$  is true, then  $x$  is not 1. Since  $x$  is an integer, then it has a predecessor  $x-1$  which is also an integer and where  $P(x-1)$  is true. However, using the second hypothesis, then we must have  $P(x-1+1) = P(x)$  true, which is a contradiction.

**Graduate Assignment:** Write a paper on the uses of the Well-Ordering Principle. In particular, note its relationship with several other equivalent axioms. (Zorn's Lemma, Axiom of Choice) Be sure to prepare your paper so that an undergraduate student in this class would be able to read without too much work. Be ready to discuss your findings during a classroom presentation.

**1.15 - Binomial Theorem** -  $(a + b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}$

Pf:  $(a + b)^1 = \sum_{k=0}^1 \binom{1}{k} a^k b^{1-k}$  is trivially true.

Assume  $(a + b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}$  and consider

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b)^{n+1} &= (a + b)(a + b)^n \\ &= (a + b) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^{k+1} b^{n-k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n+1-k} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{j-1} a^j b^{n+1-j} + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n+1-k} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} a^i b^{n+1-i} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the PMI, the formula holds for all natural numbers.

**HOMEWORK** - pg 17 #1, 2, 6, 7.

Work in class:

- #3
- #4 - See exercise 1.1.5
- #8 - (a) Show that  $n^2 + 3n$  cannot be the square of an integer when  $n > 1$  (b) Rational only if  $n=9$ .

**1.20 - Approximation Property for Suprema:** Let  $E$  be a set with supremum  $\sup E$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there is a point  $a \in E$  such that  $\sup E - \epsilon < a \leq \sup E$ .

Pf: By contradiction, suppose the theorem is false.

Then, there is some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that no element of  $E$  lies in the interval  $(\sup E - \epsilon_0, \sup E]$  and so all elements  $a \in E$  satisfy  $a \leq \sup E - \epsilon_0$ . Hence,  $\sup E - \epsilon_0$  is also an upper bound for the set  $E$ .

Since the supremum is the least upper bound, then  $\sup E \leq \sup E - \epsilon_0$  or  $\epsilon_0 \leq 0$ , which is a contradiction.

**P4 - The Completeness Axiom:** If  $E$  is a nonempty subset of the real numbers which is bounded above, then  $E$  has a finite supremum.

**1.22 - Archimedean Principle:** Given positive real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , there is an integer  $n$  such that  $b < na$ .

Pf: See page 19

**1.24 - Density of the Rationals:** For any real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  where  $a < b$ , there is a rational  $q$  such that  $a < q < b$ .

Pf: Since  $b - a > 0$ , use the Archimedean Principle to obtain a natural number  $n$  such that  $n(b-a) > 1$ .  
Then,  $b-a > 1/n$  or  $-(b-a) < -1/n$ .

Case 1:  $b > 0$ .

Consider the set  $E = \{ k : b \leq k/n \}$ . By the Archimedean Principle applied to  $b$  and  $1/n$ ,  $E$  is nonempty.

By the WOP,  $E$  has a least element, say  $k_0$ .

Let  $m = k_0 - 1$  and  $q = m/n$ .

Since  $k_0$  is a minimal element of  $E$ , then  $m$  is not in  $E$ ...hence,  $b > m/n$ .

Further,  $a = b - (b-a) < k_0/n - 1/n = m/n$ .

Thus, we obtain the desired result  $a < m/n < b$ .

Case 2:  $b \leq 0$

By the Archimedean Principle applied to  $-b$  and  $1$ , choose a natural number  $k$  such that  $-b < k \cdot 1$  or  $0 < k+b$ .

By Case 1, applied to  $k+a$  and  $k+b$  (which is positive), there is a rational  $r$  such that  $k+a < r < k+b$ .

Subtracting the integer  $k$  yields  $a < r-k < b$ , where  $r-k$  is indeed a rational.

**1.29 – The Monotone Property:** Suppose  $A \subseteq B$  are nonempty real sets. Then:

- If  $\sup B$  exists, then  $\sup A \leq \sup B$ .
- If  $\inf B$  exists, then  $\inf B \leq \inf A$ .

Pf: See page 22

**HOMEWORK:** pg 23 #1, 5, 6.

Work in class:

- #3
- #4
- #7

*Review definitions and results relating to functions in section 1.4*

**Mean Value Theorem:** From Calculus I, if  $f$  is continuous on  $[a,b]$  and differentiable on  $(a,b)$ , then there exists a  $c \in (a,b)$  such that  $f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$ .

Pf: Coming later in chapter 4.

**1.32 – Sufficient Condition for a function to be 1-1:** If  $f$  is differentiable on a open interval  $(a,b)$  and  $f'(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x$  in  $(a,b)$ , then  $f$  is 1-1 on  $(a,b)$ .

Pf: By contradiction, suppose  $f$  is not 1-1 on  $I$ . Then, there exists  $c, d \in (a,b)$  such that  $f(c) = f(d)$ .

So, by the MVT, there is a  $\xi \in (c,d)$  such that  $0 = [f(d) - f(c)] / (d - c) = f'(\xi) \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction.

**HOMEWORK:** pg 32 #2, 4, 10.

Work in class:

- #5